organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2,5-Dihydroxyterephthalic acid dihydrate

Po-Wen Cheng, Chi-Feng Cheng, Yeh Chun-Ting and Chia-Her Lin*

Department of Chemistry, Chung-Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li 320, Taiwan Correspondence e-mail: chiaher@cycu.edu.tw

Received 25 June 2010; accepted 30 June 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.061; wR factor = 0.193; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.5.

The title compound, $C_8H_6O_6\cdot 2H_2O$, was obtained by accident within a project on the synthesis of metal–organic coordination polymers by the reaction of LiOH with 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid under solvothermal conditions. The asymmetric unit consists of half a 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid molecule located on a centre of inversion and one solvent water molecule that occupies a general position. The 2,5dihydroxyterephthalic acid molecules are connected to the water molecules *via* $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding to form a layer in the *ab* plane.

Related literature

For general background to supramolecular assembly and crystal engineering, see: Kitagawa *et al.* (2004).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_8H_6O_6\cdot 2H_2O$ $M_r = 234.16$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$

a = 5.1883 (10) Å
b = 17.545 (4) Å
c = 5.4990 (12) Å

$\beta = 103.03 \ (1)^{\circ}$
$V = 487.68 (17) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 2
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD	
diffractometer	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	
(SADABS; Bruker, 2009)	
$T_{\min} = 0.945, \ T_{\max} = 0.963$	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$ 73 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.193$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.02 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.36$ e Å $^{-3}$ 1208 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.32$ e Å $^{-3}$

 $\mu = 0.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.080$

 $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

4475 measured reflections

1208 independent reflections 589 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
$O1-H1A\cdots O3^{i}$	0.82	1.88	2.597 (3)	146
$O2-H2B\cdots O1W^{ii}$	0.82	1.74	2.561 (3)	177
$O1W - H1WB \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.85	1.94	2.786 (3)	175.0
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.85	2.04	2.809 (3)	150.4

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 2; (ii) x, y, z - 1; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (iv) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Science Council, Taiwan, and also funding as a CYCU Distinctive Research Area project (grant No. CYCU-98-CR-CH).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NC2191).

References

- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kitagawa, S., Kitaura, R. & Noro, S. (2004). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 2334– 2338.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, 01928 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810025766]

2,5-Dihydroxyterephthalic acid dihydrate

P.-W. Cheng, C.-F. Cheng, Y. Chun-Ting and C.-H. Lin

Experimental

The solvothermal reactions were carried out in Teflon-lined digestion bombs (internal volume of 23 ml) under autogenously pressure by heating the reaction mixtures followed by slow cooling at 6 K h⁻¹ to room temperature. Crystals of the title compound were obtained from the reaction of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid ($C_8H_4O_6$, 0.198 g, 1.0 mmol) with Li(OH) (0.048 g, 2.0 mmol) in H₂O (10.0 ml). The mixture was heated at 363 K for 3 d. On cooling light-yellow crystals had formed.

Refinement

The H atoms of the benzene rings were placed in idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The hydroxyl H atoms of the carboxyl groups were placed in ideal positions with the O-H bond trans to the longest bond of the adjacent atom (O-H = 0.82 Å) and refined using a riding model. One H atom of the water molecule were located in difference map, the other placed in an ideal position in order that reasonable hydrogen bonding is found. Finally they were refined using a riding model with O-H = 0.85 Å. All O-H H atoms were refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure view of the title compound with labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [symmetry codes: (i) -x, 1 - y, 2 - z].

Fig. 2. Crystal structure of title compound with view along *a*-axis. Hydrogen bonding is shown as blue dashed lines.

2,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid dihydrate

Crystal data	
$C_8H_6O_6\cdot 2H_2O$	F(000) = 244
$M_r = 234.16$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.595 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 5.1883 (10) Å	Cell parameters from 760 reflections
b = 17.545 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.3 - 22.5^{\circ}$
c = 5.4990 (12) Å	$\mu = 0.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 103.03 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 295 K
$V = 487.68 (17) \text{ Å}^3$	Tablular, light-yellow
Z = 2	$0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer	1208 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	589 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.080$
Detector resolution: 8.3333 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.3^{\circ}$
φ and ω scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2009)	$k = -23 \rightarrow 23$
$T_{\min} = 0.945, \ T_{\max} = 0.963$	$l = -7 \rightarrow 4$
4475 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.061$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.193$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.02	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0851P)^{2}]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
1208 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.009$
73 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.36 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
01	0.0253 (5)	0.35101 (13)	0.8449 (4)	0.0561 (7)
H1A	-0.0615	0.3231	0.9153	0.084*
O2	0.4188 (5)	0.59034 (13)	0.6391 (4)	0.0488 (7)
H2B	0.4915	0.6261	0.5857	0.073*
O3	0.2796 (5)	0.68281 (14)	0.8554 (4)	0.0536 (7)
O1W	0.6537 (4)	0.69917 (13)	1.4663 (4)	0.0524 (7)
H1WA	0.5399	0.7288	1.3796	0.079*
H1WB	0.7486	0.6811	1.3724	0.079*
C1	0.0087 (6)	0.42428 (18)	0.9234 (5)	0.0371 (8)
C2	0.1432 (6)	0.48027 (18)	0.8263 (5)	0.0394 (9)
H2A	0.2394	0.4671	0.7089	0.047*
C3	0.2843 (6)	0.61572 (19)	0.7961 (5)	0.0378 (8)
C4	0.1382 (6)	0.55608 (17)	0.9001 (5)	0.0337 (8)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0761 (17)	0.0361 (15)	0.0720 (15)	-0.0063 (12)	0.0504 (14)	-0.0070 (12)
O2	0.0610 (15)	0.0415 (15)	0.0545 (14)	-0.0033 (11)	0.0352 (12)	0.0004 (11)
03	0.0678 (17)	0.0402 (15)	0.0639 (16)	-0.0083 (12)	0.0380 (13)	-0.0066 (12)
O1W	0.0646 (16)	0.0461 (16)	0.0575 (14)	0.0068 (12)	0.0371 (13)	0.0091 (12)
C1	0.0391 (18)	0.037 (2)	0.0386 (16)	0.0007 (14)	0.0155 (14)	-0.0015 (14)
C2	0.0412 (19)	0.043 (2)	0.0399 (17)	0.0012 (15)	0.0208 (15)	-0.0001 (15)
C3	0.0377 (18)	0.041 (2)	0.0366 (17)	-0.0011 (15)	0.0131 (14)	0.0051 (15)
C4	0.0338 (17)	0.0363 (19)	0.0324 (15)	0.0026 (13)	0.0105 (13)	0.0015 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.365 (4)	C1—C2	1.380 (4)
O1—H1A	0.8200	C1—C4 ⁱ	1.405 (4)
O2—C3	1.305 (3)	C2—C4	1.393 (4)
O2—H2B	0.8200	C2—H2A	0.9300
O3—C3	1.223 (4)	C3—C4	1.480 (4)
O1W—H1WA	0.8485	C4—C1 ⁱ	1.406 (4)
O1W—H1WB	0.8511		
C1—O1—H1A	109.5	C4—C2—H2A	119.2
C3—O2—H2B	109.5	O3—C3—O2	123.4 (3)

supplementary materials

H1WA—O1W—H1WB	108.2	O3—C3—C4	122.3 (3)
O1—C1—C2	118.3 (3)	O2—C3—C4	114.3 (3)
O1—C1—C4 ⁱ	122.1 (3)	C2—C4—C1 ⁱ	119.0 (3)
C2—C1—C4 ⁱ	119.5 (3)	C2—C4—C3	121.2 (3)
C1—C2—C4	121.5 (3)	C1 ⁱ —C4—C3	119.9 (3)
C1—C2—H2A	119.2		
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x$, $-y+1$, $-z+2$.			

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
O1—H1A···O3 ⁱ	0.82	1.88	2.597 (3)	146
O2—H2B···O1W ⁱⁱ	0.82	1.74	2.561 (3)	177
O1W—H1WB…O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	1.94	2.786 (3)	175.0
O1W—H1WA···O3 ^{iv}	0.85	2.04	2.809 (3)	150.4
~				

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y+1, -z+2; (ii) x, y, z-1; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (iv) x, -y+3/2, z+1/2.



Fig. 2

